Turning Observations Into Action: A Safety Manager's Guide to Using Safety Observation Program Intelligence to Reduce Risk

Hazards are ever-present in the steel plant environment, and a heightened awareness and emphasis on safety is a necessary priority for our industry. This monthly column, coordinated by members of the AIST Safety & Health Technology Committee, focuses on procedures and practices to promote a safe working environment for everyone.

Author

Paris Galmore, Senior Health and Safety Risk Engineering Consultant, Zurich Resilience Solutions, Schaumburg, Ill., USA

Introduction: Why Observations Alone Are Not Enough

During my time working in manufacturing environments, I have investigated serious injuries, reviewed near misses that could have permanently altered lives, and partnered with leadership teams frustrated by stagnant injury rates despite conducting thousands of observations.

The lesson has been consistent: observations alone do not reduce risk: intelligence does.

A safety observation program delivers measurable and sustainable results only when it evolves through defined maturity phases. Each phase builds capability, strengthens insight and progressively reduces injury probability. Regardless of where an organization begins, the path forward is both practical and achievable.

Phase 1: Baseline and Gap Identification

Understanding What Is Really Happening: When I enter a facility, I start by assessing operational reality — not software dashboards.

Phase 1 focuses on identifying exposure drivers, reviewing injury and near miss trends, evaluating observation quality, and understanding whether high-risk tasks are being observed with sufficient frequency and depth. In many organizations, observation counts appear strong on paper, yet they remain disconnected from where injuries are actually occurring.

I have worked with operations where the majority of observations targeted housekeeping behaviors, while recordable injuries stemmed from material handling, pinch points and line of fire exposures. This misalignment creates a false sense of control and masks true risk.

Phase 1 answers a critical question: Are we observing the behaviors that statistically influence injury probability?

Clarity at this stage reduces noise, aligns leadership focus and establishes the foundation for measurable improvement.

Phase 2: Structured Manual Deployment

Creating Discipline and Consistency: Once gaps are identified, structure must follow.

In Phase 2, supervisors and frontline leaders conduct focused, exposure-based observations directly tied to injury drivers. Critical behaviors are clearly defined. Observation frequency expectations are established. Coaching becomes immediate, consistent and purposeful.

One principle I consistently emphasize is, "Frequency influences probability."

Even incremental increases in high-quality observations can

Comments are welcome.
If you have questions about this topic or other safety issues, please contact safetyfirst@aist.org. Please include your full name, company name, mailing address and email in all correspondence.

reduce short-term injury likelihood. However, frequency without discipline produces data with limited value.

When executed effectively, this phase often results in:

- Stabilization of minor injury trends.
- Improved near miss reporting quality.
- Early reductions in claim frequency.

The safety process becomes intentional rather than reactive. Manual systems can deliver meaningful gains — but over time, they limit visibility and speed of insight.

Phase 3: Digital Observation Enablement

From Data Collection to Real-Time Insight: Digital enablement fundamentally changes the pace and quality of safety decision-making.

When observation data is captured within structured digital systems, trends become visible in near real time. Departments with increasing exposure can be identified within days rather than weeks. Supervisor engagement becomes transparent. Coaching gaps become measurable.

In fast-paced manufacturing environments, delayed insight equals sustained exposure. Real-time aggregation allows leadership to:

- Adjust observation focus quickly.
- Allocate resources strategically.
- Reinforce accountability with objective data.

I have seen organizations significantly improve leading indicator reliability simply by making observation data visible and timely. Visibility drives action. Action reduces risk.

Phase 4: Advanced and Predictive Analysis

From Reactive Metrics to Forecasting Risk: Most organizations still rely heavily on lagging indicators such as recordable injury rates and workers' compensation costs. By the time those metrics change, the injury has already occurred.

Phase 4 shifts the organization from reaction to prediction.

When structured observation data is aligned with exposure frequency, meaningful patterns emerge:

- Behavioral drift in high-risk departments becomes visible.
- Declines in coaching quality surface early.
- Production or staffing changes that increase exposure can be correlated with observation trends.

Instead of asking, "Why did this injury happen?" leadership begins asking, "Where is the next injury most likely to occur?"

Organizations operating at this level move from compliance-driven safety to risk-informed decision-making. Attention is allocated where probability is rising, not where incidents have already occurred. This shift consistently reduces claim frequency and protects long term financial performance.

Conclusion: From Checklists to Intelligence

Every organization is positioned somewhere along this maturity curve:

- If you are early in the journey, focus on clarity and alignment.
- If you are structured but manual, focus on consistency and quality.
- If you are digital, focus on visibility and accountability.
- If you are advanced, focus on prediction and prevention.

Observations are not the end goal. Reduced injury probability is.

When safety observation programs evolve from checklists to intelligence, safety leaders stop reacting to incidents and start influencing outcomes. That progression protects workers, strengthens operational performance and reduces workers' compensation exposure in a measurable, sustainable way. ✦